

# Democratic formulation of non-linear Electrodynamics

Karapet Mkrtchyan

Imperial College London

Workshop “Aspects of Symmetry”  
November 12, 2021

## Based on:

K.M. JHEP 1912 (2019) 076 [arXiv:1908.01789]

Sukruti Bansal, Oleg Evnin and K.M.

Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (2021) 3, 257 [arXiv:2101.02350]

Zhirayr Avetisyan, Oleg Evnin and K.M. (Accepted to PRL)  
[arXiv:2108.01103]

## Wigner classification of particles $\leftrightarrow$ field equations (unique?)

For massless spin-zero particle the simplest option is the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\square \phi = 0$$

The scalar here is a single field that carries one degree of freedom: trivial representation of the massless little group. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{1}{2} \phi \square \phi$$

## Alternative

An alternative formulation of the scalar field is given by so-called Notoph Lagrangian by Ogievetsky and Polubarinov (1966):

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda B^{\lambda\nu}$$

The scalar Notoph Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda B^{\lambda\nu}$$

can be written in a more conventional form using different variables:  $C_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{d-2}} = \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} B^{\mu_{d-1} \mu_d}$ . Then, the Lagrangian is a regular Maxwell-type Lagrangian for the  $(d-2)$ -form field

$$\mathcal{L} \sim (\partial_{[\mu_1} C_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_{d-1}]})^2$$

which describes a  $(d-2)$ -form representation of the little group, dual to scalar.

# Interactions depend on the formulation of the free theory

## Interacting spin-zero particles

The scalar-field formulation allows for straightforward generalisation to non-linear theory with arbitrary potential:

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{1}{2} \phi \square \phi + V(\phi).$$

Instead, the notoph formulation does not allow for any non-derivative self-interactions (those would spoil the gauge symmetry)!

## Moral of the story

The choice of the free field formulation plays an important role in deriving possible interacting theories.

Therefore, before addressing the problem of the interacting p-forms, we should find a convenient action for the free fields.

# Duality symmetry of Maxwell equations

The most familiar example of duality symmetry – free Maxwell eq.'s:

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}, \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0,$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}, \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0,$$

invariant with respect to the duality rotations:

$$\vec{E} \rightarrow \cos \alpha \vec{E} + \sin \alpha \vec{B},$$

$$\vec{B} \rightarrow -\sin \alpha \vec{E} + \cos \alpha \vec{B}.$$

Discrete duality – exchange of the electric  $\vec{E}$  and magnetic  $\vec{B}$  fields:

$$\vec{E} \rightarrow \vec{B}, \quad \vec{B} \rightarrow -\vec{E}.$$

# Duality symmetry of Maxwell equations

When the electromagnetic field is coupled to charged matter,

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} + \vec{j}_e, \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi\rho_e,$$

the duality symmetry is broken, unless one introduces magnetic charges – monopoles. These form a magnetic current  $\vec{j}_m$ :

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} - \vec{j}_m, \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 4\pi\rho_m.$$

The Maxwell equations remain duality invariant if the duality rotates also the four-vector currents  $j_e^\mu = (\rho_e, \vec{j}_e)$ ,  $j_m^\mu = (\rho_m, \vec{j}_m)$ :

$$j_e^\mu \rightarrow \cos \alpha j_e^\mu + \sin \alpha j_m^\mu,$$

$$j_m^\mu \rightarrow -\sin \alpha j_e^\mu + \cos \alpha j_m^\mu.$$

# Duality symmetry of electromagnetic equations

Maxwell action (with one potential) is not duality symmetric:

$$S = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x (\vec{E}^2 - \vec{B}^2).$$

It changes the sign under discrete duality transformations.

Democracy requires employing two vector potentials:  $A_\mu^1$  and  $A_\mu^2$  with field strengths  $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a$  ( $a = 1, 2$ ). Free Maxwell equations are equivalent to (twisted self-) duality relation:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \epsilon^{ab} \star F_{\mu\nu}^b,$$

where

$$\star F_{\mu\nu}^b = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F^{b\lambda\rho}, \quad \epsilon^{ab} = -\epsilon^{ba}, \quad \epsilon^{12} = 1$$



## A $p$ -form and its dual

The Lagrangian is given in the form of (“Maxwell Lagrangian”)

$$\mathcal{L} \sim F \wedge \star F, \quad F = dA.$$

Massless  $p$ -form and a  $(d - 2 - p)$ -form fields describe correspondingly particles of  $p$ -form and a  $(d - 2 - p)$ -form representations of the massless little group  $ISO(d - 2)$ , dual to each other.

## Attention!

Dual formulations do not admit the same interacting deformations!

# Duality-symmetric equations

Maxwell action for  $p$ -forms and  $(d - 2 - p)$ -forms describes the same particle content.

When  $d = 2p + 2$ , the dual variables are of the same type and the Maxwell action itself takes the same form in both variables.

## Twisted self-duality equations

The Maxwell equations are equivalent to first-order equations involving both dual potentials:

$$F = \pm \star G, \quad F = dA, \quad G = dB$$

## Duality-symmetric formulations

Zwanziger '70,..., Gaillard-Zumino '80, Bialynicki-Birula '83,..., Schwarz-Sen '93, Gibbons-Rasheed '95, Pasti-Sorokin-Tonin '96, Cederwall-Westerberg '97, Rocek-Tseytlin '99, Kuzenko-Theisen '00, Ivanov-Zupnik '02,...

# Chiral $p$ -forms in $d = 4k + 2$ Minkowski space

## Minkowski vs Euclidean

Since  $\star^2 = (-1)^{\sigma+p+1}$  where  $\sigma$  is the number of time directions, only even-forms can be self-dual (chiral) in Minkowski space.

## $p = 2k$ forms in $d = 4k + 2$ dimensions

For even  $p$ -form potentials in special dimensions the corresponding particles are not irreducible but contain two irreps — chiral and anti-chiral halves.

# Self-dual (Chiral) fields

There are special representations of the Poincaré algebra which are described by self-dual forms. The covariant equations describing such representations are given as:

$$F = \pm \star F, \quad F = dA$$

which implies the regular “Maxwell equations”  $d \star F = 0$ .

## Lagrangian?

Lagrangian formulation of the (free) chiral fields has a long history. Siegel '84, Kavalov-Mkrtchyan '87, Florianini-Jackiw '87, Henneaux-Teitelboim '88, Harada '90, Tseytlin '90, McClain-Yu-Wu '90, Wotzasek '91, ..., Pasti-Sorokin-Tonin '95,..., Sen '15,...

There are many different formulations for free chiral  $p$ -forms: the most economic covariant one is that of Pasti, Sorokin and Tonin. E.g., PST action for chiral two-form in six dimensions:

$$S = - \int d^6x \left[ \frac{1}{6} F_{\mu\nu\lambda} F^{\mu\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2(\partial a)^2} \partial^\lambda a \mathcal{F}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\rho a \right],$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu\lambda} = 3 \partial_{[\mu} \varphi_{\nu\lambda]}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\lambda} = F_{\mu\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\alpha\beta\gamma} F^{\alpha\beta\gamma},$$

The field  $a$  is called “PST scalar”, is an auxiliary field that has to satisfy the condition:  $\partial_\mu a \partial^\mu a \neq 0$ .

# New action for Chiral fields

## Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = (F + a Q)^2 + 2 a F \wedge Q ,$$

where  $F = dA$  and  $Q = dR$ .

## Symmetries

$$\delta A = dU ; \quad \delta R = dV ;$$

$$\delta A = -a da \wedge W , \quad \delta R = da \wedge W ;$$

$$\delta A = -\frac{a \varphi}{(\partial a)^2} \iota_{da}(Q + \star Q) ,$$

$$\delta a = \varphi , \quad \delta R = \frac{\varphi}{(\partial a)^2} \iota_{da}(Q + \star Q) .$$

# Equations and symmetries

## Equations

$$E_a \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta a} \equiv (F + a Q) \wedge \star Q + F \wedge Q = 0,$$

$$E_A \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A} \equiv d[\star(F + a Q)] + da \wedge Q = 0,$$

$$E_R \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta R} \equiv d[a \star (F + a Q)] - da \wedge F = 0.$$

## Relations

$$E_R - a E_A = da \wedge [F + a Q - \star(F + a Q)] = 0$$

From here (for  $(da)^2 \neq 0$ ):

$$F + a Q - \star(F + a Q) = 0$$

and  $E_a \equiv [F + a Q - \star(F + a Q)] \wedge Q = 0$  follows from  $E_A = 0 = E_R$ .

## Consequences of e.o.m.

Equations imply:

$$da \wedge dR = 0$$

which implies that  $R$  is pure gauge. In the  $R = 0$  gauge, we get:

$$F = \star F$$

Thus the propagating d.o.f. consist of a single self-dual  $p$ -form.



# Democratic formulation for $p$ -form in $d$ dimensions

## Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = (F + a P)^2 + (G + a Q)^2 - 2 a Q \wedge F + 2 a G \wedge P$$

where  $F = dA$ ,  $G = dB$ ,  $P = dS$ ,  $Q = dR$ . The fields  $A$  and  $S$  are  $p$ -forms, while  $B$  and  $R$  are  $(d - p - 2)$ -forms.

This is a democratic formulation for  $p$ -form fields (together with dual  $(d - p - 2)$ -form field) in  $d$  dimensions. The equations imply that  $S, R$  are pure gauge (as is the field  $a$ ), and the only physical d.o.f. are in  $A, B$ , satisfying the duality relation:

$$dA = \star dB$$

## The Lagrangian for a single massless spin-one field

$$\mathcal{L}_{Maxwell} = -\frac{1}{4} H_{\mu\nu}^b H^{b\mu\nu} + \frac{a(x)}{4} \epsilon_{bc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F_{\mu\nu}^b Q_{\lambda\rho}^c$$

where  $H_{\mu\nu}^b \equiv F_{\mu\nu}^b + a Q_{\mu\nu}^b$ ,  $b = 1, 2$ , and

$$F_{\mu\nu}^b = \partial_\mu A_\nu^b - \partial_\nu A_\mu^b, \quad Q_{\mu\nu}^b = \partial_\mu R_\nu^b - \partial_\nu R_\mu^b.$$

This Lagrangian describes a single Maxwell field, using 4 vectors and 1 scalar. Any solution of the e.o.m. is gauge equivalent to that of

$$R_\mu^b = 0, \quad \star F_{\mu\nu}^a + \epsilon^{ab} F_{\mu\nu}^b = 0,$$

with a single propagating Maxwell field.

## Ansatz for the consistent non-linear Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = a \epsilon_{bc} F^b \wedge Q^c + f(U^{ab}, V^{ab})$$

where

$$U^{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu}^a H^{b\mu\nu}, \quad V^{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu}^a \star H^{b\mu\nu}$$

All symmetries are built in, except for the shift of  $a$ . The latter will fix the form of  $f(U, V)$ .

# Imposing the missing symmetry

Shift symmetry  $\delta a = \varphi$

Equations of motion for  $a$ :

$$E_a \equiv Q^b \wedge K_b = 0,$$

where

$$K_a \equiv (f_{ab}^U + f_{ba}^U) \star H^b - (f_{ab}^V + f_{ba}^V) H^b - \epsilon_{ab} H^b,$$

and  $f_{ab}^U \equiv \partial f / \partial U_{ab}$ ,  $f_{ab}^V \equiv \partial f / \partial V_{ab}$  ( $f_{21}^U \equiv 0 \equiv f_{21}^V$ ).

Note, that  $E_{R^b} - a E_{A^b} = da \wedge K_b = 0$ , which implies  $K_b = 0$  when

$$K_a \pm \epsilon_{ab} \star K_b \equiv 0$$

Then, the  $E_a = 0$  is redundant, which means that the shift symmetry for  $a$  is present.

# The general democratic non-linear electrodynamics

## Solution

The equation  $K_a \pm \epsilon_{ab} \star K_b \equiv 0$  implies

$$\pm \delta^{ac} (f_{cb}^U + f_{bc}^U) - \epsilon^{ac} (f_{cb}^V + f_{bc}^V) + \delta_b^a = 0$$

The general solution gives the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{Maxwell} + g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2),$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} \star G^{\mu\nu}, \quad \lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}, \quad G_{\mu\nu} \equiv \star H_{\mu\nu}^1 - H_{\mu\nu}^2$$

Reminder: non-linear electrodynamics in the conventional language

$$S = \int \mathcal{L}(s, p) d^4x, \quad s \equiv \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad p \equiv \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \star F^{\mu\nu}$$

## Discreet duality symmetry

Under the discrete duality,

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow -\lambda_1, \quad \lambda_2 \rightarrow -\lambda_2$$

Theories with such symmetry will satisfy:

$$g(-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2) = g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

# Duality symmetry

## Continuous duality symmetry

Under continuous duality symmetry,

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \cos(2\alpha) \lambda_1 + \sin(2\alpha) \lambda_2 ,$$

$$\lambda_2 \rightarrow -\sin(2\alpha) \lambda_1 + \cos(2\alpha) \lambda_2$$

Theories with such symmetry will have:

$$g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = h(w) , \quad w = \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}$$

The corresponding Lagrangian is given as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{Maxwell} + h(w) ,$$

where  $w$  can be also given as:

$$w = \sqrt{-\det \mathcal{H}} , \quad \mathcal{H}^{ab} \equiv (\star H_{\mu\nu}^a - \epsilon^{ac} H_{\mu\nu}^c)(\star H^{b\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{bd} H^{d\mu\nu})/2$$

## Requirement of conformal symmetry

Requirement of conformal invariance translates into:

$$\lambda_1 \frac{\partial g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{\partial \lambda_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{\partial \lambda_2} = g(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

which can be solved, e.g. as:

$$g = \lambda_1 \tilde{g}(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)$$



## Conformal symmetry for duality-symmetric theories

This case gives:

$$w \frac{\partial h(w)}{\partial w} = h(w),$$

which is solved by a linear function:

$$h(w) = \delta w$$

General conformal and duality-symmetric electrodynamics is given by the one-parameter Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} H^b \wedge \star H^b + a \epsilon_{bc} F^b \wedge Q^c + \delta w$$

## Equations

E.o.m. imply in  $R^a = 0$  gauge:

$$\star F^1 + F^2 = g_2 (\star F^1 - F^2) - g_1 \star (\star F^1 - F^2),$$

where  $g_1 \equiv \partial g / \partial \lambda_1$ ,  $g_2 \equiv \partial g / \partial \lambda_2$ .

One can solve from here  $F^1$  in terms of  $F^2$ :

$$F^1 = \alpha(s, p) F^2 + \beta(s, p) \star F^2,$$

where  $s = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 F^{2\mu\nu}$ ,  $p = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 \star F^{2\mu\nu}$ . One can now make contact with the single-field formalism with Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}(s, p)$  via

$$\alpha(s, p) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p}, \quad \beta(s, p) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial s}$$

# Map between different formulations

## The relation between single and double potential formulations

The relation between derivatives of Lagrangians in both formulations:

$$g_1 = \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 + (\beta + 1)^2}, \quad g_2 = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}{\alpha^2 + (\beta + 1)^2},$$

where  $g$  is a function of  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ , which can also be expressed in terms of  $\alpha, \beta, s, p$ :

$$\lambda_1 = 2\alpha(1 + \beta)s - [\alpha^2 - (1 + \beta)^2]p,$$

$$\lambda_2 = [\alpha^2 - (1 + \beta)^2]s + 2\alpha(1 + \beta)p,$$

while  $w$  is given as:

$$w \equiv \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2} = (\alpha^2 + (\beta + 1)^2) \sqrt{s^2 + p^2}$$

# Map for duality-symmetric theories

## The $SO(2)$ invariant case

The relation between the two formulations is given in this case by:

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{w} h' = \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 + (\beta + 1)^2}, \quad \frac{\lambda_2}{w} h' = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}{\alpha^2 + (\beta + 1)^2}$$

which implies the duality-symmetry condition for the single-potential formulation

$$\beta^2 + \frac{2s}{p}\alpha\beta - \alpha^2 = 1,$$

and:

$$(\alpha s + (\beta + 1)p) h' \Big|_{w=\sqrt{s^2+p^2}(\alpha^2+(\beta+1)^2)} = \alpha \sqrt{s^2 + p^2}$$

### The conformal duality-symmetric electrodynamics

The conformal and duality-symmetric Electrodynamics:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} H^b \wedge \star H^b + a \epsilon_{bc} F^b \wedge Q^c + \delta w$$

can be translated to single-potential formulation

$$L(s, p) = -\cosh \gamma s + \sinh \gamma \sqrt{s^2 + p^2}$$

using a parametrization:  $\delta = \coth \frac{\gamma}{2}$ . This is so-called ModMax theory. In the special case of  $\delta = 1$ , the map breaks down. There, the single-field formulation does not exist. This corresponds to Bialynicki-Birula Electrodynamics.

# Example: Generalized Born-Infeld theory

## Generalized Born-Infeld theory

The conventional Lagrangian ( $T, \gamma$  are constants):

$$L_{GBI} = \sqrt{UV} - T, \quad U \equiv 2u + e^\gamma T, \quad V \equiv -2v + e^{-\gamma} T,$$

where  $u \equiv (s + \sqrt{p^2 + s^2})/2$ ,  $v \equiv (-s + \sqrt{p^2 + s^2})/2$ .

## Democratic formulation

The duality-symmetric Lagrangian is  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{Maxwell} + h(w)$ , where in this case  $h(w)$  is implicitly given by:

$$h(\lambda) = 4T \sinh^2 \frac{\lambda}{2} \cosh(\lambda + \gamma),$$

$$w(\lambda) = -4T \cosh^2 \frac{\lambda}{2} \sinh(\lambda + \gamma).$$

## Main statements

- The choice of the free Lagrangian matters.
- The Lagrangian can manifest duality symmetry, and in general, democracy between electric and magnetic degrees of freedom without compromising manifest Poincaré symmetry.
- Abelian self-interactions are simple and tractable in democratic formulation.

## A list of related ambitious problems

- Democratic formulation for non-abelian gauge theory.
- Interacting theory of non-abelian (chiral)  $p$ -forms.
- Extensions to Gravity and beyond.



Thank you for your attention!